

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,043	11/17/2003	Richard M. Chesbrough	71202-45	4168
20915 MCGARRY BA	7590 07/30/200 AIR PC	7	EXAMINER	
32 Market Ave. SW			WEATHERBY, ELLSWORTH	
SUITE 500 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$\mathcal{N}^{\circ}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astice Comments	10/707,043	CHESBROUGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ellsworth Weatherby	3768				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr					
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	ail Date nal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

Art Unit: 3768

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
   121:
  - I. Claims 1-75, drawn to an apparatus for implanting a wire within a tissue mass, classified in class 600, subclass 421.
  - Claims 76-82, drawn to a locatable wire, classified in class 600, subclass 424

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination may be used with a coil type localization wire. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use in any type of long flexible catheter or cannula.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or

Art Unit: 3768

otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A. An imagable apparatus for implanting a wire within a tissue mass.

Species B. An apparatus including the particulars of an integrated handle and trigger mechanism for implanting a wire within a tissue mass.

The species are independent or distinct because they have distinct structural elements that would require different searches in the art and the embodiments are non-obvious variants of each other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic to all embodiments.

Art Unit: 3768

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. A telephone call was made to Mark Davis (Reg. No. 37,118) on 7/18/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 3768

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellsworth Weatherby whose telephone number is (571) 272-2248. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3768

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**EW** 

ELENI MANTIS MERCADER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED